

APPENDIX 3 – Waste & Environment Performance Information (latest available 2017)

Performance area	Performance Reports / Data	BCP Priority?	Summary comments drawing out performance issues for noting/discussion																																																																																																		
Missed bins per 100,000	Monthly trend report		<p>Latest Available Data – Period 2 (24th April to 21st May 2017):</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; text-align: center;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th colspan="11">2016/17</th> <th colspan="2">2017/18</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>3</th> <th>4</th> <th>5</th> <th>6</th> <th>7</th> <th>8</th> <th>9</th> <th>10</th> <th>11</th> <th>12</th> <th>13</th> <th>1</th> <th>2</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: left;">Residual Black</td> <td>87.29</td> <td>72.68</td> <td>76.48</td> <td>73.99</td> <td>88.36</td> <td>55.58</td> <td>60.81</td> <td>50.36</td> <td>63.06</td> <td>70.43</td> <td>61.64</td> <td>73.16</td> <td>59.03</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: left;">SORT - Green</td> <td>44.66</td> <td>40.88</td> <td>43.40</td> <td>67.06</td> <td>64.12</td> <td>49.28</td> <td>40.88</td> <td>36.54</td> <td>41.72</td> <td>39.20</td> <td>37.52</td> <td>36.40</td> <td>29.68</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: left;">Garden - Brown</td> <td>174.06</td> <td>91.75</td> <td>111.56</td> <td>93.87</td> <td>92.92</td> <td>64.39</td> <td>75.00</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>63.68</td> <td>98.58</td> <td>83.49</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: left;">Overall</td> <td>90.49</td> <td>65.29</td> <td>72.06</td> <td>75.75</td> <td>80.59</td> <td>55.19</td> <td>56.66</td> <td>44.01</td> <td>53.27</td> <td>56.10</td> <td>53.38</td> <td>65.34</td> <td>53.68</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: left;">Previous Year</td> <td>107.46</td> <td>94.23</td> <td>91.86</td> <td>75.75</td> <td>70.65</td> <td>65.50</td> <td>61.25</td> <td>58.34</td> <td>75.76</td> <td>70.36</td> <td>80.54</td> <td>70.85</td> <td>58.88</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		2016/17											2017/18			3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	1	2	Residual Black	87.29	72.68	76.48	73.99	88.36	55.58	60.81	50.36	63.06	70.43	61.64	73.16	59.03	SORT - Green	44.66	40.88	43.40	67.06	64.12	49.28	40.88	36.54	41.72	39.20	37.52	36.40	29.68	Garden - Brown	174.06	91.75	111.56	93.87	92.92	64.39	75.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	63.68	98.58	83.49	Overall	90.49	65.29	72.06	75.75	80.59	55.19	56.66	44.01	53.27	56.10	53.38	65.34	53.68	Previous Year	107.46	94.23	91.86	75.75	70.65	65.50	61.25	58.34	75.76	70.36	80.54	70.85	58.88
	2016/17											2017/18																																																																																									
	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	1	2																																																																																								
Residual Black	87.29	72.68	76.48	73.99	88.36	55.58	60.81	50.36	63.06	70.43	61.64	73.16	59.03																																																																																								
SORT - Green	44.66	40.88	43.40	67.06	64.12	49.28	40.88	36.54	41.72	39.20	37.52	36.40	29.68																																																																																								
Garden - Brown	174.06	91.75	111.56	93.87	92.92	64.39	75.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	63.68	98.58	83.49																																																																																								
Overall	90.49	65.29	72.06	75.75	80.59	55.19	56.66	44.01	53.27	56.10	53.38	65.34	53.68																																																																																								
Previous Year	107.46	94.23	91.86	75.75	70.65	65.50	61.25	58.34	75.76	70.36	80.54	70.85	58.88																																																																																								
Recycling	Monthly trend report	BCP – Low Carbon – Percentage of Waste Recycled.	<p style="color: red;">Latest Available Data (2016/17 Quarter 4): Draft - unverified by Waste Data Flow</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; text-align: center;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Jan</th> <th>Feb</th> <th>March</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: left;">Monthly Target</td> <td>34.9%</td> <td>36.2%</td> <td>43.7%</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: left;">Monthly Achieved</td> <td>29.8%</td> <td>29.5%</td> <td>40.4%</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: left;">Year To Date Target</td> <td>43.9%</td> <td>43.4%</td> <td>43.4%</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: left;">Year To Date Achieved</td> <td>39.0%</td> <td>38.3%</td> <td>38.5%</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: left;">2015/16 Achieved</td> <td>39.3%</td> <td>38.6%</td> <td>38.4%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Jan	Feb	March	Monthly Target	34.9%	36.2%	43.7%	Monthly Achieved	29.8%	29.5%	40.4%	Year To Date Target	43.9%	43.4%	43.4%	Year To Date Achieved	39.0%	38.3%	38.5%	2015/16 Achieved	39.3%	38.6%	38.4%																																																																										
	Jan	Feb	March																																																																																																		
Monthly Target	34.9%	36.2%	43.7%																																																																																																		
Monthly Achieved	29.8%	29.5%	40.4%																																																																																																		
Year To Date Target	43.9%	43.4%	43.4%																																																																																																		
Year To Date Achieved	39.0%	38.3%	38.5%																																																																																																		
2015/16 Achieved	39.3%	38.6%	38.4%																																																																																																		

Performance area	Performance Reports / Data	BCP Priority?	Summary comments drawing out performance issues for noting/discussion																																													
Tonnage to Landfill (domestic waste only)	Monthly trend report		<p>Latest Available Data (2016/17 Quarter 4): Draft - unverified by Waste Data Flow</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Jan</th> <th>Feb</th> <th>March</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Monthly Target</td> <td>1,457</td> <td>1,281</td> <td>1,494</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Monthly Achieved</td> <td>366</td> <td>632</td> <td>833</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Year To Date Target</td> <td>14,207</td> <td>15,489</td> <td>16,983</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Year To Date Achieved</td> <td>13,323</td> <td>13,955</td> <td>14,787</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2014/15 Achieved</td> <td>63,438</td> <td>64,655</td> <td>66,194</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Jan	Feb	March	Monthly Target	1,457	1,281	1,494	Monthly Achieved	366	632	833	Year To Date Target	14,207	15,489	16,983	Year To Date Achieved	13,323	13,955	14,787	2014/15 Achieved	63,438	64,655	66,194																					
	Jan	Feb	March																																													
Monthly Target	1,457	1,281	1,494																																													
Monthly Achieved	366	632	833																																													
Year To Date Target	14,207	15,489	16,983																																													
Year To Date Achieved	13,323	13,955	14,787																																													
2014/15 Achieved	63,438	64,655	66,194																																													
Leeds Quality Park Standard	Annual (rolling programme)		<p><u>Leeds Quality Park Standard</u></p> <p>All 63 community parks were assessed against the Leeds Quality Park (LQP) standard in 2016 and will continue to be assessed on this basis in future, with 41 parks achieving the standard which is 65% against a target of 73%.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>2014/15</th> <th>2015/16</th> <th>2016/17</th> <th>2017/18</th> <th>2018/19</th> <th>2019/20</th> <th>2020/21</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Target</td> <td>60%</td> <td>66%</td> <td>73%</td> <td>81%</td> <td>87%</td> <td>94%</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Actual</td> <td>52%</td> <td>50%</td> <td>65%</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>When other types of green space are included and based on the latest assessment available 146 sites have been assessed against this standard from 2014-16 and will continue to be assessed on this basis in future, with 68 green spaces achieving the standard which is 46.7% against a target of 42.2%.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>2015/16</th> <th>2016/17</th> <th>2017/2018</th> <th>2018/19</th> <th>2019/20</th> <th>2020/21</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Target</td> <td>39%</td> <td>42.2%</td> <td>45.40%</td> <td>48.60%</td> <td>51.80%</td> <td>55.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Actual</td> <td>38%</td> <td>46.7%</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	Target	60%	66%	73%	81%	87%	94%	100%	Actual	52%	50%	65%						2015/16	2016/17	2017/2018	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	Target	39%	42.2%	45.40%	48.60%	51.80%	55.00%	Actual	38%	46.7%				
	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21																																									
Target	60%	66%	73%	81%	87%	94%	100%																																									
Actual	52%	50%	65%																																													
	2015/16	2016/17	2017/2018	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21																																										
Target	39%	42.2%	45.40%	48.60%	51.80%	55.00%																																										
Actual	38%	46.7%																																														

Performance area	Performance Reports / Data	BCP Priority?	Summary comments drawing out performance issues for noting/discussion										
Street Cleanliness	Bi-annual		<table border="1" data-bbox="857 319 1554 526"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" data-bbox="857 319 1554 359">% of sites that have acceptable litter levels</th> </tr> <tr> <th data-bbox="857 359 1205 399">Summer 15/16</th> <th data-bbox="1205 359 1554 399">Winter 15/16</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="857 399 1205 438">90.6%</td> <td data-bbox="1205 399 1554 438">90.2%</td> </tr> <tr> <th data-bbox="857 438 1205 478">Summer 16/17</th> <th data-bbox="1205 438 1554 478">Winter 16/17</th> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="857 478 1205 518">89.7%</td> <td data-bbox="1205 478 1554 518">96.1%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p data-bbox="801 566 2063 638">The overall year score for 2016/17 stands at 92.9% compared with 90.7% in the previous year.</p>	% of sites that have acceptable litter levels		Summer 15/16	Winter 15/16	90.6%	90.2%	Summer 16/17	Winter 16/17	89.7%	96.1%
% of sites that have acceptable litter levels													
Summer 15/16	Winter 15/16												
90.6%	90.2%												
Summer 16/17	Winter 16/17												
89.7%	96.1%												